

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEWAYNE THOMPSON,
Plaintiff,
v.
ADDISON,
Defendant.

Case No. 1:22-cv-01545 HBK (PC)

ORDER GRANTING IN PART
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO MODIFY
SCHEDULING ORDER

(Doc. No. 51)

Pending before the Court is Defendant's motion to modify the dispositive motion deadline in the Court's operative Amended Case Management Scheduling Order (Doc. No. 42), filed on July 17, 2025. (Doc. No. 51, "Motion"). Defendant requests a 30-day extension to file and respond to dispositive motions, citing difficulty securing witness declarations despite diligent effort. (*Id.* at 4).

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b) provides for extending deadlines for good cause shown, if the request to extend time is made before the original time, or its extension expires; or, on a motion made after the time has expired, if the party failed to act because of excusable neglect. Additionally, Rule 16(b)(4) permits a court to modify a scheduling order for good cause shown and with the judge's consent. The Court finds good cause to grant the Motion but only to a limited extent. Given the age of Plaintiff's pending motion for summary judgment—filed in September 2024—and the interest in the just speedy and inexpensive determination of every

1 action as set forth in Rule 1, the Court will grant a reduced extension to minimize delay. Fed. R.
2 Civ. P. 1.

3 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

- 4 1. Defendant's Motion (Doc. No. 51) is GRANTED IN PART.
- 5 2. Defendant shall file a response to Plaintiff's pending motion for summary judgment
6 (Doc. No. 43) no later than August 1, 2025.
- 7 3. Defendant's deadline to file a motion for summary judgment shall be August 8, 2025.
- 8 4. All other deadlines and provisions set forth in the Amended Case Management
9 Scheduling Order remain the same.

10
11 Dated: July 18, 2025


HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE